



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/740,502

12/19/2000

Barry Boone

2043.012US1

4828

49845

7590

03/17/2008

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER/EBAY

P.O. BOX 2938

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

BOYCE, ANDRE D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3623

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/17/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@SLWIP.COM

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/740,502	BOONE, BARRY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andre Boyce	3623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andre Boyce. (3)_____.

(2) David Tran. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 04 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 95, 102, 108 and 114.

Identification of prior art discussed: the Feedback Forum (eBay.com, November 10, 1999, via web.archive.org).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the predefined feedback recited in independent claims 95, 102, 108 and 114, and how the predefined feedback can be distinguished from the feedback cited in the Feedback Forum. The Examiner indicated that he understood Applicant's meaning of predefined feedback, and that further search and/or consideration would be required upon the submission of a response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/A. B./

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3623

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.